

## Nonquon Water Pollution Control Plant

## **2020 Annual Performance Report**





## The Regional Municipality of Durham Nonquon Water Pollution Control Plant 2020 Annual Performance Report

**Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA)**: 2207-9LKHLM Dated July 17, 2014 The Nonquon Water Pollution Control Plant (WPCP) 2020 Annual Performance Report provides staff, stakeholders and customers an overview of the performance of the Nonquon WPCP in 2020. Further, this report fulfills the annual reporting requirements of the Ontario Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP). This report demonstrates the commitment of ensuring that the WPCP continues to deliver wastewater services to our customers in an environmentally responsible manner.

# Water Pollution Control Plant Process Description General

The Nonquon WPCP located in the Community of Port Perry in the Township of Scugog is owned and operated by the Regional Municipality of Durham (Region). The plant is operated according to the terms and conditions of the ECA. This MECP Class 3 wastewater treatment plant utilizes an extended aeration process with tertiary treatment and is designed to treat wastewater at a rated capacity of 5,900 cubic metres per day (m<sup>3</sup>/d). The Nonquon WPCP has a service population of 8,462 residents.

Nonquon WPCP treats wastewater from the Port Perry service area utilizing the following processes;

- raw influent pumping,
- preliminary treatment,
- phosphorus removal,
- secondary treatment,
- tertiary treatment, and
- disinfection.

### **Raw Influent Pumping**

Wastewater is collected through approximately 49.9 kilometres of sanitary sewers in the Port Perry service area and is conveyed to the Nonquon WPCP by three sanitary sewage pumping stations (SPSS): Water Street, Reach Street and Canterbury Common SPSS.

The influent pumping station at the Nonquon WPCP allows raw wastewater flow in excess of the design flow of 5,900 m<sup>3</sup>/d to passively overflow to the inlet chamber and be directed to one of the five equalization lagoons for storage. A gravity sanitary sewer pipe allows for lagoon effluent to be returned to the influent pumping station during periods of low flows, for full treatment.



#### **Preliminary Treatment**

**Screening**: There are two bar screens in the screening room for the removal of paper products and large material that could harm pumps and process equipment. One channel contains an automatic, mechanically cleaned bar screen and the other is equipped with a manually raked bar screen to provide screening on an emergency basis. A screenings washer/compacter utilizes plant effluent water to wash and compact the screenings. Screenings are removed in this process and transported to landfill for disposal.

**Grit Removal**: The vortex grit removal removes sand and small stones (grit) for the protection of mechanical equipment from unnecessary wear and reduce formation of heavy deposits in pipelines, channels and process tanks. The vortex grit removal chamber uses centrifugal force to separate the grit from the wastewater. Grit is collected in the lower portion of the grit tank and is pumped to a grit classifier for dewatering. The dewatered grit is conveyed to the grit/screenings bin for landfill disposal.

#### **Phosphorus Removal**

The phosphorus removal system lowers the total phosphorus level in the final effluent by adding a chemical coagulant, aluminum sulphate, as part of the treatment process. Aluminum sulphate can be added at multiple locations throughout the plant.

#### **Secondary Treatment**

**Aeration Tanks**: Preliminary effluent flow is directed to two aeration tanks comprised of two distinct zones. The first is a swing zone equipped with fine bubble diffusers. This zone is capable of being operated as an anoxic zone where no oxygen is introduced and allows for potential denitrification or an aerated zone where fine bubbled air is diffused into the wastewater. It is typically operated as an anoxic zone. The flow leaves the swing zone and enters the aerated zone where fine bubbled air is diffused into the wastewater to assist bacteria in removing dissolved and suspended organics and nutrients. Prior to entering the secondary clarifiers, the two aeration tanks are equipped with a rotating slotted pipe for removal of any excess activated sludge, the waste activated sludge (WAS) is decanted manually to the WAS chamber and pumped to a storage lagoon.

**Secondary Clarifiers**: The effluent from the aeration tank is directed to the two secondary clarifiers where solids settle quickly as activated sludge leaving a clear effluent. The activated sludge collected on the bottom of the clarifiers is pumped back to the front of the aeration tanks. The clear effluent continues to tertiary treatment.

#### **Tertiary Treatment**

**Tertiary Sand Filter**: Effluent from the secondary clarifiers is filtered through four upflow filter cells operated in parallel. The tertiary influent flow is directed to the bottom of the cells and upward through the sand media. The automatic backwash is initiated by an increase in head pressure or on a



programmed timer. The backwash water is returned to the influent pumping station for further treatment.

#### Disinfection

**Ultra Violet (UV) Irradiation**: The effluent flow from the sand filter is then directed to the UV channel for disinfection. The effluent passes through two banks of UV lamps connected in series. The treated final effluent is discharged to the Nonquon River.

#### Storage

**Equalization Storage Lagoons**: During high flow conditions excess flow from the influent pumping station is diverted to the aerated cell inlet chamber, from here it is directed to one of the five storage lagoons. During low flow conditions the lagoon effluent can be returned to the influent pumping station for treatment.

**Solids Treatment**: Waste activated sludge (WAS) is pumped from the WAS chamber to lagoon number six for storage and settling, the solid levels are monitored and removed for disposal as needed.

#### **Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA)**

Under Condition 10.(6) of ECA #2207-9LKHLM the Region must produce an annual performance report that must contain the following information:

# a) Summary and interpretation of all monitoring data and a comparison to the effluent limits;

The raw wastewater flowing into the plant is analyzed for its chemical and physical composition. Monitoring of the raw wastewater is performed in accordance with the plant's ECA. Table 2 summarizes the raw wastewater characteristics during the reporting period.

The Nonquon WPCP effluent was determined to be compliant with the approval limits during the reporting period. The plant operated at 49.1% of its rated capacity and received a maximum daily flow of 8,543 m<sup>3</sup>/d on January 12, 2020.

#### b) Description of any operating problems encountered and corrective actions taken;

 A Request for Pandemic Related Temporary Relief (Alternative Arrangement) for Municipal Wastewater Systems was submitted to the MECP on March 31, 2020. The request was made for relief of influent sampling to assist in managing workload and for the health and safety of staff.

The Director granted relief on April 29, 2020. Nonquon WPCP returned to normal sampling practices on June 1, 2020.

• From May to September, the plant experienced high total phosphorus and foaming issues at Reach Street SSPS. In December, foaming issues were experienced at the SSPS and in the plant. Samples were collected from the collection system to determine if foaming could



### Nonquon Water Pollution Control Plant 2020 Annual Performance Report

be due to isolated discharge events or increased sanitation from all Industrial, Commercial and institutional (ICI) facilities in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Investigation is ongoing and a public service announcement was sent out to all of Port Perry and targeted communication was sent to ICI facilities in the Reach Street SSPS catchment area cautioning what can be discharged from their facilities.

# c) Summary of all maintenance carried out on any major structure, equipment, apparatus, mechanism or thing forming part of the Works;

Major maintenance items in 2020 included:

- Upgrades on the secondary clarifiers scum removal system.
- d) Summary of any effluent quality assurance or control measures undertaken in the reporting period;

In-house lab test results are compared to the results of the Regional Environmental Laboratory on comparable samples to determine the in-house accuracy. Results were found to be in an acceptable range.

- e) Summary of the calibration and maintenance carried out on all effluent monitoring equipment;
- Calibration of the flow meter was conducted in May 5 and October 6, 2020.
- Calibration of the in-house laboratory equipment was conducted on October 15, 2020.
- Calibration of the balance scale was conducted on March 2, 2020.
- Calibration of the pH meter is conducted regularly.

#### f) Description of efforts made and results achieved in meeting the effluent objectives;

The Region continually strives to achieve the best effluent quality at all times and remain below the objectives specified in the ECA.

The Nonquon WPCP effluent objectives were met in 2020 except for:

• The monthly total phosphorus objective of 0.08 mg/L was exceeded in January, May, June and December.

Best efforts will continue to be applied to maintain results below objectives.

#### g) Biosolids Production;

#### Tabulation of Volume of Sludge Generated;

There was no removal of biosolids during the reporting period.

#### Outline of Anticipated Volumes to be Generated in the Next Reporting Period;

There is no increase of sludge volume generated expected in the next reporting period.

#### Summary of Locations to Where Sludge was Disposed;

Waste activated sludge (WAS) is pumped to lagoon number six for storage and settling, the solid levels are monitored and will be removed for disposal when needed.



h) Summary of any complaints received during the reporting period and any steps taken to address the complaints;

A summary of complaints received from the public is administered through a central database. No complaints received in 2020.

#### i) Summary of all By-pass, Spill or Abnormal Discharge;

No by-passes or spills occurred during the reporting period.

 j) Copy of all Notice of Modifications and any implementation of Limited Operational Flexibility (Schedule B);

No notice of modifications was submitted in 2020.

#### k) Report summarizing modifications (Schedule B Section 3);

There were no modifications to the plant during the reporting period.

I) Information required by Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks Water Supervisor;

No additional information was requested.

#### Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) Inspection

The plant was inspected by the MECP on March 5, 2019.



#### Table 1 Final Effluent Flows

Month	Total Plant Flow metered at the Final Effluent cubic metre (m <sup>3</sup> )	Average Daily Flow cubic metre per day (m <sup>3</sup> /d)	Maximum Daily Flow m³/d
January	126,410	4,078	8,543
February	88,797	3,062	3,508
March	134,048	4,324	6,882
April	106,450	3,548	4,198
May	88,880	2,867	3,350
June	71,452	2,382	2,662
July	68,373	2,206	2,477
August	68,664	2,215	2,820
September	70,936	2,365	2,963
October	75,697	2,442	2,800
November	74,642	2,488	3,133
December	85,593	2,761	3,398
Total	1,059,942		
Annual			
Average	88,328	2,896	61/2
Minimum	68,373		
Maximum	134,048	N/A	8,543
ECA Limit		5,900*	
Met			
Compliance		Yes	

\*Annual Average



## Table 2 Raw Influent Analyses

Month	Biochemical Oxygen Demand	Total Suspended	Total	Total Kjeldahl
	(BOD₅) average (avg.)	Solids (TSS) avg	Phosphorus	Nitrogen avg.
	concentration (conc.)	conc. mg/L	(TP) avg	conc. mg/L
	milligram per litre (mg/L)		conc. mg/L	
January	121	170	3.0	23.00
February	154	231	3.5	26.48
March	87	184	2.4	16.37
April	129	186	3.1	27.40
May	134	199	3.8	27.50
June	202	199	4.2	31.24
July	244	287	5.0	41.63
August	188	271	4.8	38.90
September	213	276	4.8	38.50
October	177	249	4.6	35.98
November	245	302	4.8	39.60
December	196	233	4.7	33.56
Average	174	232	4.0	31.68
Minimum	87	170	2.4	16.37
Maximum	245	302	5.0	41.63
Sampling				
Frequency				
Requirement				
Met	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes



## Table 3 Final Effluent Analyses

Month	Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand (CBOD₅) average (avg.) concentration (conc.) milligram per litre (mg/L)	CBOD₅ loading kilogram per day (kg/d) year to date avg.	Total Suspended Solids (TSS) avg. conc. mg/L	TSS kg/d year to date avg.
January	1.0	4.1	6.7	27.5
February	1.0	3.6	4.0	19.3
March	1.0	3.8	3.5	18.2
April	1.0	3.8	3.5	16.6
Мау	1.0	3.6	6.4	17.3
June	1.0	3.4	6.4	17.2
July	2.0	3.7	3.7	15.7
August	2.9	4.2	2.8	14.3
September	1.1	4.0	2.3	13.1
October	1.0	3.8	3.0	12.5
November	1.0	3.7	5.3	12.6
December	1.8	3.8	9.6	13.8
Total				
Average	1.3	3.8	4.8	13.8
Minimum	1.0	3.4	2.3	12.5
Maximum	2.9	4.2	9.6	27.5
ECA Limit	5.0*	29.5**	10.0*	59.0**
ECA Objective	4.0		8.0	
Within Compliance	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Sampling Frequency Requirement Met	Yes		Yes	

\*Annual Average Concentration

\*\*Annual Average Loading



## Table 3 Final Effluent Analyses continued

Month	Total Phosphorus (TP) average (avg.) concentration (conc.) milligram per litre (mg/L)	TP kilogram per month (kg/m) monthly avg.	TP kg/m year to date avg.
January	0.11	13.6	14
February	0.06	5.2	18
March	0.05	7.2	26
April	0.06	6.4	32
May	0.12	8.6	44
June	0.14	10.0	55
July	0.07	5.5	59
August	0.04	3.4	61
September	0.03	2.3	63
October	0.04	2.7	65
November	0.07	5.1	70
December	0.18	15.1	85
Total			85**
Average	0.08	7.1	7
Minimum	0.03	2.3	14
Maximum	0.18	15.1	85
ECA Limit		14.2 kg/month May to October*	170kg/Year**
ECA Objective	0.08		
Within Compliance		Yes	Yes
Sampling Frequency Requirement Met	Yes	Yes	Yes

\*Monthly Average Loading

\*\*Annual Average Loading



## Table 3 Final Effluent Analyses continued

Month	pH minimum	pH maximum	Temperature Degree
			Celsius average (avg.)
January	6.8	7.2	11.4
February	6.5	7.3	11.2
March	6.8	7.2	12.2
April	6.7	7.2	12.5
Мау	6.9	7.2	14.6
June	6.9	7.1	17.4
July	6.8	7.1	20.8
August	6.9	7.4	20.8
September	7.0	7.5	19.6
October	6.9	7.3	17.2
November	6.9	7.2	15.2
December	6.9	7.4	13.2
Average			15.5
Minimum	6.5		11.2
Maximum		7.5	20.8
ECA Limit	6.0	9.5	
ECA Objective	6.0	9.5	
Within			
Compliance	Yes	Yes	
Sampling			
Frequency			
Requirement			
Met	Yes	Yes	Yes



## Nonquon Water Pollution Control Plant 2020 Annual Performance Report

## Table 3 Final Effluent Analyses continued

Month	Total Ammonia Nitrogen (TAN) average (avg.) concentration (conc.) milligram per litre (mg/L)	TAN Effluent Objective conc. mg/L	TAN Effluent Limit Monthly avg. conc. mg/L
January	0.1	4.0	5.0
February	0.1	4.0	5.0
March	0.2	4.0	5.0
April	0.1	4.0	5.0
Мау	0.0	2.4	3.0
June	0.0	1.2	1.5
July	0.0	1.2	1.5
August	0.0	1.2	1.5
September	0.0	1.2	1.5
October	0.0	2.4	3.0
November	0.0	4.0	5.0
December	2.1	4.0	5.0
Average	0.2		
Minimum	0.0		
Maximum	2.1		
Within			
Compliance	Yes		



## Table 5 Escherichia coli Sampling

Month	Number of Samples	Monthly Geometric Mean
		Density
January	8	1
February	8	1
March	7	1
April	5	1
Мау	4	1
June	10	4
July	8	2
August	9	3
September	9	2
October	8	1
November	9	1
December	9	2
ECA Objective		100 organisms/ 100ml
ECA Limit		200 organisms/ 100ml
Within Compliance		Yes
Sampling Frequency		
Requirement Met	Yes	



## Table 6 Energy and Chemical Usage

Month	Aluminum Sulphate	Hydro kilowatt
	litre	hour
		noul
January	15,351	109,440
February	17,124	105,120
March	18,477	97,440
April	19,451	89,760
May	18,321	87,360
June	21,067	84,480
July	18,665	62,400
August	21,585	62,880
September	19,436	56,640
October	19,084	88,908
November	22,248	92,423
December	25,162	117,244
Total	235,970	1,054,095